G R. S. IX-5]

*Previous references.*

File No. 320-16

191(.

Serial Nos.

(2115-)

*Later references.*

161-24, 81.1.
Army Dol 371-39.

# GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

---

*Serial Nos.*

Part B for [illegible] 1920

---

SUBJECT.

Instructions for the Land Settlement Officer Dehradun regarding Forest Boundary pillars

---

26 Articles 24 2.

[illegible]

---

W D 1250 -GPB—30,000 28-1-19

1916-17.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

( Muzrai )

NOTES.

File No. 320-16

FILE HEADING.

Prayer of VenKataKrishniah Chetty of Bowringpet for the restoration of certain lands and houses to the Sri Kodandaramaswami temple at Bowringpet.

From

Petitioner Kata VenKataKrishniah Chetty of Bowringpet.

No. Petition. Dated 20.9.16. Received 21.9.16.

| Diary No. 504 | Enclos. 24 one plan. Spare Copies. | Serial No. 1 |
|---|---|---|

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Submits copies of his applications to the Amildar of Bowringpet praying for the restoration of the lands and houses built thereon which have been unlawfully occupied by outsiders, to the above temple to which they rightfully belongs & prays for early orders regarding the restoration of the land and houses and perusing the connected records of the case. ~~& perusing the same~~

NOTES AND ORDERS.

Muz. File No. 84-02 re: assumption of the management of the above temple and its endowments by Govt is obtained & put up for refce. As the Deputy Comr. is stated to have sold a house belonging to the

NOTES—First Sheet.

Serial No.

temple and as the Amildar is alleged to have passed orders to let out the land free to some persons, a report may, if approved, be called for from the Deputy Com.r in the matter.

2 Subd for orders with draft O. M. and an endt to the petitioner.

RR. 14.11.16. 27

3 Maybe forwarded for disposal or report if necessary. (The petitioner prays for personal inspection of the spot by the Mugrai Serv.)

4. ADJ 28/11/16

No: 1359 dt 2.12.16, D.D.C.P. Kolar, for the above for such connected records for the perusal of D.V.C..

From the D.C. Kolar Dt. No. H.C.933 d. 27.1.17 / 29: — Submits the entire records called for in st 2 for perusal.

The Sri Kodandaramaswami temple at Bowringpet was built in the year 1870 by one Gurikar Muniappa, his mother Thimmavayamma on a piece of land granted to them free of cost by the then Deputy Com.r Mr. Krishniengar and

VOTE—Contn. sheet

Serial No.

and certain lands, houses etc., were also endowed to the temple by these two persons for the regular performance of Puja and other services by means of a transfer deed of conveyance, and 4 persons were appointed as trustees to supervise the management of the temple and its properties. Of these 4 trustees, Dharmar Hanumappa who was the son-in-law of the testator Thimmarayappa taking advantage of his relationship assumed the sole management of the affairs of the temple and went on mismanaging things and misappropriating the temple properties. Thereupon on the receipt of various complaints from the inhabitants, Govt took over the management of the temple and its endowments in Govt. order No. 3386-95 d. 28.4.1904 and besides calling for a regular scale of expenditure in for the temple directed the D.C. Kolar to recover from D. Hanumappa the moneys and value of properties misappropriated by him.

7. As regards the shops and other buildings the Deputy Comr. had in Oct. 1915 at first issued notices to some of the occupants of shops for the relinquishment of the sites and buildings within the compound of the temple

NOTE—Contn sheet

Serial No.

A

temple. In July 1916 the Deputy Comr. in his ~~order~~ No. 99-16 d. 7.7.16 issued an order to the effect that the vacant site of the temple should be leased out to the occupants permanently at 2 annas per square yard and the amount credited to the temple funds It is not known whether the temple properties were recovered from Dharwar Hanumappa as directed in the Govt order ordering the assumption of management of the institution by Govt. Meanwhile some of the rent amounts stated to have been recovered from the owners of shops by mistake have been refunded (vide G. O. No. 2218-9/Muz. 468-16-2 d. 9.3.17 sanctg a refund bill for Rs. 130 in favor of Kamilal and Mohanlal of Gadwas) & the Amildar directed not to interfere with the shop owners. The contention of the present owners of the shops & buildings is that they purchased the sites etc, from Hanumappa and that they will be put to loss if they should be ejected from the temple premises. May the Deputy Comr, under the above circumstances, be requested to submit a detailed report on the petitions refd to in sl. 1, stating therein whether the temple funds & the value of the properties

F

A

E

D

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

1236

WD 1233—GEB—60,000—1-12-1

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 326 of 1916.

Serial No.

properties misappropriated by Hanu have been recovered from him as order in the above yout Progs, on what grounds ~~the levy~~ the fee of 2 as. per sq. yard on the temple lands was ordered to be levied and on shop owners and the sites granted to them on permanent lease and whether the houses & shops situated within the temple compound have been restored to the temple or whether they belong to private persons.

Subd for orders.

ppr.

29.3.17. 23/4/17

30 Memorial addressed to His Highness the Maharaja dt. 9.8.1 from Kota Venkata Krishnaiah Shetty & others praying that the temple lands & sites granted were inspected by the Nuzrai Supdt & Offr. Secy & Guard on Wednesday the 22nd ~~Sept~~ Aug and an extract from his notes of inspection put up.

Noted.

4/9 23/10.

9. There are two matters touched upon in the petition viz the ownership of certain shops now in the possession of Kanniah Mudaliar and the ~~inadequacy~~ Compensation received for the extent of ground encroached upon and built over by some of the

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD 1233—GPB—60 000—1 12-16—S



Serial No

Adjacent-house owners.

10. As regards the former the petitioner by way of appeal against the Dy Commr's order. In the matter of compensation received has the Dy Commr sanction or approved the rate.

11. In the case of the steps there was some litigation between the Dharmakarta and one Sampangiya for the recovery of rent and the case was remanded for trial on merits in June 1903. The temple was taken under Govt management in 1904. Did the Dharmakarta take any further steps in the prosecution of the case. Was the matter brought to the notice of Govt authorities and was any step taken. In his written statement Sampangiya repudiated the ownership of the temple. Has he at any time later given any recognition of the right of temple

As regards the encroachment, is there anything to show when this happened. Did it happen after Govt took up management. Is there anything to show that the extent 315' x 240' was actually measured and handed over in 1870. If so it is noteworthy that Govt

NOTE—Contn' sheet

Serial No

have themselves encroached to the depth of 22½ feet - in building the Engineers Quarters which is said to be more than twenty years old.

13. Please put up a satisfactory note dealing with the main question for Sub. to the member

Sd
11.10.16

14. The petitioner asks for revision of order no 70 & 7.7.16 levying a fee of 2 as a Square Yard on the area belonging to the temple encroached by five persons vide (ii) order no 1051 & 3.6.16 letting alone another case of encroachment these orders were passed by the Dy Com.

15. The Sketch in the file shows the position and the extent of the several cases of encroachment (including that of the Asstt Engrs Quarters which is not referred to in the petn). The differentiation between the five cases in which levy was ordered and the Sixth case which was let alone seems to have arisen owing to its being recommended by the Amildar (whose reasons are not convincing)

16. Taking the cases in order

WD 1233—GPB—60 000—1 12-16—S



Serial No.

he find the history to be as follows:

i) Syed Hussain – says he purchased some land from Govt 24 years ago for Rs12. – Has produced no records.

ii) Mahomed Yakub – Abdul Sapur purchased temple land for 100 Rs on 11.4.1896 from Manager Hanumappa; he purchased from Abdul Sapur. – Deed is produced

iii) Ibrahim Sab, agent of Abdul Khadir – his principal purchased on 14.3.1898 from Hanumappa – Deed is produced.

iv) Raja Muniapa Setty – says that the property came to him during partition of ancestral property.

v) Wahib Sab. – Not examined

vi) Kamilal Mohanlal – the building seems to be the tenement the right to which was contested by Sampangi against Hanumappa, the latter saying that the former had to give oil and perform Oobavam in return for enjoying the tenement, and the former claiming the tenement in his own right all along. The litigation in the Civil Ct was not ended when Govt took up Manegar in 1904. The records do not disclose how it ended.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 320 of 1916

Serial No.

17. The land granted in 1868 (this was before the days of the Gold Fields) does not seem to have been marked out. Hanumappa was not careless in his management (vide his statement of 19.5.04). We cannot now claim for the temple what Hanumappa sold for the temple purposes and what Hanumappa had no satisfactory right to dispute the title of, on behalf of the temple.

18. In the absence of more satisfactory evidence to show the title of the temple to the properties now possessed by persons ii), iii) & vi) no action can be taken against these persons. As regards the other persons full opportunity should be given to them to show their title to the land now claimed for the temple.

Secy) 15/10/17

19. There is nothing in the record before us as to what became of the case filed by Hanumappa in connection with the steps in prosecuting Sampangi. Please call for a definite report in the matter from the Dy Comm.

19.10.17

NOTE—Contn. sheet

Serial No.

Also any connected papers relating to the decision upon D.O. enquiries in regard to Kanyalal's petition may be called for

Lee 19.10.17

DS 20/10/17

IV

Inted with a draft calling for the report & records regd to above. for approval

MR. 7.11.17.

ajm 8.11.17

Approved.

DS 9.11.17

4 No 1115 dt 16.11.17, & 24 dt Kolar, [illegible]

1.15 96.

5 Petition d. 24.2.18 / 21.3.18 addressed to the Dewan of Mysore from the residents of Bowringpet praying for personal inspection of the site belonging to the Sri Kodandaramaswami temple at that place and restoration of the arms to the temple from the present owners after perusal of the connected records.

Inted with a draft letter forwarding the petition to the D.C. for report in continuation of No 4 above.

MR. 1.4.18.

ajm 24/4/18

Approved.

DS. 6/4/18

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

1959

WD 1233—GPB—60,000—1-12-16—S

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Inwd. File No. 320 of 1916

| Serial No. | |
|---|---|
| 6 | To D.C. Kolar No 25495 dt 10.6.18 Calls for report & papers. |
| 7 | From the D.C. Kolar. R.H.C. 933816-17. d.2.5.19. Submits the further information called for in ck. & above together with the connected records of the case. The Deputy Comr.'s letter explains the facts of the case. 1. As regards the result of the litigation in the Civil Courts by Shanmuga Hanumappa it is stated that the case filed by the latter was referred (by the Sub-Judge) to the Munsiff, K.G.F. for disposal on 5.10.1901. 2. No further steps were taken either by Hanumappa or in the prosecution of the case after the temple was taken under Govt. management. 3. With regd. to point No. 3 the D.C. reports that no papers are available in the Taluk records as to whether the piece of land measuring 105 x 80 square yards granted free of cost to Gurukkal Muniappa for the erection of the temple was actually measured and handed over to him, but that it is however ascertained from local |

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mevg. File No. 320 of 191

Serial No.

Local enquiries made by the Amildar that the plot was personally handed over to the founder of the temple (Gurukkal Munappa) by the then Deputy Comrs.

4. The papers connected with the shops belonging to Kannikal are also enclosed.

Subdt for orders with regd to para No. 50 of the Mevgnai Supdt's Inspection notes.

MV. RA 10.6.19
7.6.19.

Labr with reference to orders on p. 9 of the notes.

RS. 10/6/19

Seen.

Draft A Commr's proceedings, order dispensing with the service of notice & accept the existing settlement & the case be closed.

RS. 19/6/19.

After consulting the vernacular & other papers a draft order as per directions noted above is put up and file subdt for orders

MV. RA 13.9.19

Heavy at War
Three Months

NOTE—Contra sheet.

MV 27/12

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 459/18-19
320 of 1916.

Serial No.

Revised Reply made is put up as personally desired by the Asstt Secy.

RL
9.1.19

Please put up a revised draft. as per written and by me - typed

AMT
29.12.19

A fair draft is sub'd

RL
22/12/19

Asstt.

I regret the delay in Asstt this file. The case was rather complicated & I wished to know facts for myself. Under the pressure of other urgent work & my own illness the file was unavoidably delayed

AMT 22/1/20

I hope such delays will be avoided in future

V. 27/1/20

Noted

V. 22/1/20

2786
22/1/20

NOTE—Contra. sheet.

Draft copy.

An endorsement in this case is also necessary in the Pol. Dept.

AMT
26/1/20

1959 of 26-9

G. R.-S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 320 of 1916.

| Serial No. | |
|---|---|
| 2 | To, Dy. Commr. Solan Dtd. No. 2422 D 13 2 20. Declines to order the resumption of the properties from their present owners & the restoration to the same & the Complaints prayed for by the petitioners |

NOTE—Contn. sheet

GEN MUZ
11 AUG 1917

OFFICE OF THE PRIVATE SECRETARY

TO HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE,

Mysore, 9. 8. 1917.

No. 617/4 ...

10 AUG 1917

DIARY No 254

1917-18
Muz
320-16
G(R).

Petition as shown below, to His Highness the Maharaja transferred to the Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore Samasth Department, Bangalore, for disposal.

No. 105 M-17-18.

By Order,

*Private Secretary*

*to His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore*

GBPM - 500—3 2 16

GOVT. OF H. H. THE MAHARAJA
OF MYSORE.

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

No 1359 Enc 4 Dy 2/36
ons 320-16-2

The accompanying Petition Registered

No A. 504 dated 20.9.16.

received at the General and Revenue Secretariat from one Kata Venkata Krishniah Chetty of Bowringpet complaining that the lands & houses belonging to the Sri Kodandaramaswamy temple at that place have been granted free to outsiders for their unlawful occupation, and praying for their early restoration to the temples in question after due enquiry,

is forwarded with compliments, together with its enclosures,

to the Deputy Commr Kolar

~~for disposal & report~~

~~with the connected records~~ if necessary

for Subsidiary

Connected records

for the perusal of

Govt.

By Order,

For Secretary to Govt.
General Dept.

27.11.16

BANGALORE,

_____191

P. T. O.

W D 882 GPB—1 000—10 11 15

ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರ್_____ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು

_____ಹೋಬಳಿ

_____ಗ್ರಾಮದಲ್ಲಿರುವ

_____ಗೆ

ಇಂಡಾರ್ಸ್ಮೆಂಟು.

_____

_____

_____

_____

_____

_____

_____

ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲಿ ನೀವು ಗುಜರಾಯಿಸಿಕ್ಕೊಂಡ _____

ನಮ್ಮಲ್ಲಿನ ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು _____ಬಗ್ಗೆ

_____

_____ರವಕ ಬಳಿಗೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದೆ.

ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು

for the Subversion of the connected records

By Order,

*Secretary*

With reference to
tion dated 20th y
complaining against the un
lawful occupation of ~~some~~ the l
and houses belonging to the
Kodandaramaswamy temple
Bowringpet by strangers and
praying for their restoration
to the above temple, Kata
Venkatakrishniah Chetty of
Bowringpet is informed that
his petition and its
have been forwarded to
Deputy Com^r. Kolar District,
~~desiring~~ for a report ~~together with the~~
~~connected records of the case~~ the
~~be~~ claimed apply to that Officer in the first
instance.

By Order,

28/11/16

for Secretary to Govt
General Dept.

27/11/16

REV. SEC.
29 JAN 1917

DIARY No. 1236

16 17
Inwd
320-16
9

W.D. 0244—GPB—6,00,000—19-5-16—S.



From

The Deputy Commissioner,
Kolar (Mysore) District.

To

The Secretary to the Government
of Mysore, Gen. Dept.,
Bangalore.

Dated 26-1-1917.

Despatched 27

Received

General No. Ref N.C. 933
No.

Enclosure No. 4 file Inwd Depty

Submits for reference and return, the entire records regarding the free grant to outsiders of the lands and house belonging to Sri Kodandaramaswamy temple at Bowringpet, together with the petition of Kotla Venkatakrishnaiah &c.

Deputy Commissioner's office,

Kolar District,

Dated Kolar, the 25th January 1917.

From

P. F. B O W R I N G, Esquire., J.P.,

Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District.

To

D. M. Narasinga Rao, Esquire., B.A, B.L.,

Secretary to the Government of Mysore,

General Department, Bangalore.

Sir,

Adverting to your official memorandum No.1359--Muz.360-16-3 dated 2nd December 1916, calling for submission of the records relating to the complaint that the lands and houses belonging to the Sri Kodandaramaswami temple at Bowringpet have been granted free to outsiders etc, I have the honor to submit herewith the entire records together with the petition of Kota Venkatakrishnaiah Chetty of Bowringpet, received with the memorandum under reply.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

for Deputy Commissioner,
Kolar District.

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT..... Calls for further information regarding the shops and vacant sites ~~belonging to~~ situated within The compound of the Sri Kodandaramaswamy temple at Bowringpet.

*Diary No. of letter replied to* 12361/16-17.

Section VII

File No. 320-16-4

Serial No.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT,

( )

, the 191 .

No. 1115-Enq files (Seal Separated by 2nd post)

*Date of issue* 16.11.1917

*Signed by* M. Sadasiva Rao

To

The Deputy Comm.r, Kolar Dt.

Sir,

Adverting to your letter No. 933 d. 27th Jany. 1917 submitting the records relating to the ~~auction~~ alienation of certain vacant sites and shops situated within the compound of the Sri Kodandaramaswami temple at Bowringpet, I am directed to ~~invite your attention to~~ request that information on the following points accompanied by ~~the Judgment of the High Court~~ the necessary records, dt. 16th January 1903 ~~of Abkaree in this Appeal No~~ may be furnished early:

over. 36

(1) re the result of the case pled in the Civil Courts by ~~3b of 1901-02 filed by~~ Dharmar Hanumappa regarding the ownership of certain shops in the possession of ~~the defendant~~ one Lampangiah? and to request that you will be so good as to submit a definite detailed report in the matter covering the following points specially among others:

(i) whether any ~~further~~ steps were taken in the prosecution of the ~~case~~ said litigation by the local officers Dharmakarta Hanumapp... after the assumption of management

(ii) ~~matter brought to the notice of~~ by Govt of the temple? ~~your authorities & any steps taken in the matter.~~

(2) whether the encroachment of the vacant sites belonging to the temple took place before or after the assumption of the management of the temple by Govt in April 1904

(iii) whether the extent of land measuring 105 X 80 square yards reported to have been granted free of cost to Gurukar Muniappa by the D.C. of Kolar in March 1868 was actually measured and handed over to him in 1870?

~~The index~~

List of enclosure

Copied by

Examined by

WD 86—GPB—60,000—15 11 16

G.R.S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet draft form.*)

2. I am further to request that any connected papers and reference relating (in regard to the petition of Kannikal Mop gollu and dated 3.6.1916 addressed the Amildar of Bowringpet not to interfere with their shops may also be sent.

The vernacular records received with your letter are herewith returned for reference and records.

I have etc.,

9.11.17

For Joint Secretary to Govt,
Mofussil Dept.

9/11/17

Extract from the Inspection Notes of the Muzrai Superintendent in Bowringpet Taluk.

---

49. "The shops and vacant sites belonging to the temple were inspected. The shops on the Bazar Road in the possession of the temple are now yielding a good income. It is said that buildings have been allowed to be erected on the temple land and that an upset price at the rate of 2 annas a square yard was collected under the Amildar's orders from the owners of the buildings in question. Some of the devotees object to the alienation and to the price fixed. The sites are no doubt valuable but the arrangement is on the whole equitable and there seems to be no reason for reopening the question.

50. "There is another comolaint now pending disposal about a few shops originally built by the temple Dharmakarta but allowed to be in the possession of third parties. Under the Deputy Commissioner's order these shops were handed over to one Kanyalal who had purchased them from the man in possession The connected records will be submitted separately for o~ rs but it seems to be difficult to question rights which have been allowed to be created before the temple came into Government management."

S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT Re: ownership of certain shops & vacant sites situated within the compound of the Sri Kodandaramaswami temple at Bowringpet.

*Diary No. of letter replied to* 1596

Section Misc
File No. 320-16
Serial No. 6

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT,
( )
, *the* 191 .

No. 2579 : etc.

*Date of issue* 9.4.18

To

The Deputy Comm^r, Kolar Dt

*Signed by* [illegible]

Sir,

In forwarding herewith for re port petition regd No. D. 1596 d. 21st March 1918 addressed to the Dewan of Mysore ~~from~~ by the residents of Bowringpet in the matter of the restoration of certain shops and vacant sites situated within the compound of the Sri Kodandaramaswami temple at Bowringpet which are stated to have

over.

have been alienated ~~from~~ from the ownership of the temple by private persons, I am directed to invite your attention to this office letter No. 1115/Muz 320-16-4 d. 16. 11. 17 and to request that the submission of the report called for therein may be expedited.

I have etc.,

8/4/18.

Dy. Secretary to Govt.,
Muzrai Dept.

7/4/18

6.4.3

191

1959
C&R
mur
320-16
7

From

The Deputy Commissioner,
Kolar District.

To

The Joint Secretary to the
Government of Mysore,
Muzrai Department,
Bangalore.

Dated

28th April 1919.

Despatched

Received

General No.

No.

Enclosure No.

Furnishing additional informatio relating to the alienation of certain sites and shops situated within the mises of the Sri Kodandaramaswami Tem at Bowringpet.

Deputy Commissioner's Office,

Kolar District,

Dated Kolar, the 28th April 1919.

From

B. Venkoba Rao, Esq., B.A.,

Offg. Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District.

To

G. Aravamudu Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Joint Secretary to the Government of Mysore,

Muzrai Department, Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.1115/Muz.320-16-4 dated 16th November 1917, relating to the alienation of certain vacant sites and shops situated within the premises of the Sri Kodandaramaswami Temple at Bowringpet, I have the honor to state that the case filed in the Civil Court by Dharwar Hanumappa was referred by the Sub Judge to the Munsiff, Kolar Gold Fields for disposal on 5th October 1901 and no further steps were taken in the prosecution of the said litigation by Dharmakartha -- Hanumappa or local Officers after the assumption of the management of the Temple by Government.

2. As regards the third point raised in your letter, it is reported by the Amildar that no reference is forthcoming in the Taluk as to whether the extent of land measuring 105 X 80 Square yards was actually measured and handed over to Gurukar Muniappa in 1872, but from enquiries made by the Amildar recently, it is learnt from the residents of the place that the site in question was personally handed over by the then Deputy -- Commissioner to the founder of the Temple.

3. The connected papers with this office order directing the Amildar of Bowringpet not to interfere with the shops together with the Mamoolar file ending with the Bowringpet Amildar's report No.960 dated March 1918, are herewith submitted for reference and obliging return

when no longer required.

I have the honor to be,

S i r,

Your most obedient servant,

D C Subbarayappa

Treasury Assistant Commissioner,
For Offg.Deputy Commissioner,Kolar Dt.
(Absent from station).

1989

Proceedings of the Government of H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore.

Read:-

Government Order No. 608 MOR dated 28th April 1904, directing the assumption of management of the Sri Kodandarama Swami Temple at Bowringpet and its endowments by Government in the Mysore Department.

(2) Petitions Nos.504 dated 20th September 1916, and 105 dated 6th August 1917, from ~~Mr~~ Kota Venkatakrishniah Chetty, and others of Bowringpet, complaining that the lands belonging to the temple have been given away by the Deputy Commissioner to private individuals for building purposes and that certain houses of the temple have been given away to some merchants all to the prejudice of the interest of the temple and praying that these properties may be caused to be restored to the temple

(3) Correspondence on the above ending with letter No.R.P.C 900 dated 2nd May 1919, from the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District

Order No 2622
Bangalore 320-16-8-Tech-20
Dated Bangalore, the 13th December 1920.

The temple of Sri Kodandarama Swami Temple in Bowringpet town was built about 1870 by one [illegible] the institution was richly endowed by the founder and has been in charge of the [illegible] Thumkur Mahajanas for performance of Puja and other ser-

2. In 1903, it was reported to the Government that the affairs of the temple had been mismanaged and its funds misappropriated by one Dharwar Hanumappa a son-in-law of the donor and one of the trustees of the temple & thereupon the Government in their Order of 28th April 1904 read above, directed that the management of the Temple and its endowments should be taken over by the Deputy Commissioner in the Muzrai Department and this arrangement is still in force.

3. The present complaint of the petitioners is two fold, viz.,

(1) that a portion of the temple grounds had been given away to some private parties for building purposes & recovering from them a very low upset price of 2 annas per Square Yard.

(2) that a temple building has been given away to Messrs. Kunni Lal and Mohan Lal of Madras

4. As regards the first it was found that five persons had erected buildings on a piece of ground adjoining the temple none of these claiming to have got their sites by purchase from Dharwar Hanumappa and some to have obtained them direct from Government and otherwise. The Deputy Commissioner after an enquiry into the matter and considering the circumstances of the case, issued an order (No.70 dated 7th July 1916) to the Amildar directing that the sites should be confirmed in the possession of their respective occupants on their paying an upset price of [illegible]

per square yard to the [illegible]

Superintendent who inspected the [illegible] on [illegible] August 1917, has expressed the view that through the [illegible] the arrangements [illegible] this and that there [illegible] for [illegible] the question.

5. [illegible] the [illegible] in [illegible] to the [illegible] forms [illegible] of the [illegible] to be [illegible] to [illegible] General [illegible] of [illegible] Post War Credits, [illegible] firm [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]